

EXTENDED AFFINE WEYL GROUPS: PRESENTATION BY CONJUGATION VIA INTEGRAL COLLECTION

SAEID AZAM, VALIOLLAH SHAHSANAEI

ABSTRACT. We give several necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the *presentation by conjugation* for a non-simply laced extended affine Weyl group. We invent a computational tool by which one can determine simply the existence of the presentation by conjugation for an extended affine Weyl group. As an application, we determine the existence of the presentation by conjugation for a large class of extended affine Weyl groups.

0. Introduction

Let R be an extended affine root system, then its Weyl group $\mathcal{W}$ is said to have the *presentation by conjugation* if it has the following presentation:

generators: $\hat{w}_\alpha, \alpha \in R^\times$,

relations: $\hat{w}_\alpha^2 = 1, \quad \hat{w}_\alpha \hat{w}_\beta \hat{w}_\alpha = \hat{w}_{w_\alpha(\beta)}, \quad (\alpha, \beta \in R^\times),$

where $R^\times$ is the set of non-isotropic roots of R and w_α is the reflection based on $\alpha \in R^\times$. In other words $\mathcal{W}$ has the presentation by conjugation, if it is isomorphic to the presented group $\hat{\mathcal{W}}$, defined by the above generators and relations. Since $\mathcal{W}$ is a Hopfian group (see Lemma 1.18), it follows that $\mathcal{W}$ has the presentation by conjugation if and only if the epimorphism $\psi : \hat{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ induced from the assignment $\hat{w}_\alpha \mapsto w_\alpha, \alpha \in R^\times$ is one-to-one.

Let us recall briefly what is known about this presentation. The following subclasses of extended affine Weyl groups are known to have the presentation by conjugation:

- finite and affine Weyl groups, (see [St] and [MP, Proposition 5.3.3],
- simply laced extended affine Weyl groups of rank > 1 (see [K, Theorem, III.1.14]),
- extended affine Weyl groups of index zero, including extended affine Weyl groups of types F_4 and G_2 (see [A4, Theorem, 5.15]),
- nullity 2 extended affine Weyl groups of types A_1, B_ℓ, C_ℓ (see [A3, Theorem 3.1]),

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B67, 17B65, 20F55, 22E65, 22E40.

Key words and phrases. Extended affine Weyl group, presentation by conjugation, extended affine root system, Coxeter group.

The authors would like to thank the Center of Excellence for Mathematics, University of Isfahan.

- all but one, nullity 3 extended affine Weyl groups of type A_1 (see [AS2, Theorem 5.16 and Corollary 5.17]).

It is shown in [AS2], that for each nullity > 2 , there is at least one extended affine Weyl group of type A_1 which does not have the presentation by conjugation. In [H1] a necessary and sufficient condition is given for the existence of the presentation by conjugation for an extended affine Weyl group (minimality of the corresponding root system). Also in [AS2], using a notion of minimality on the set of generators of the Weyl group, a necessary and sufficient condition is given for the existence of the presentation by conjugation for A_1 -type extended affine Weyl groups. One of the most interesting results related to this presentation is that the kernel of the epimorphism ψ defined above, in the case of A_1 , is a direct sum of a finitely many copies of $\mathbb{Z}_2$, where an upper bound is found for the number of copies. We show that this result remains valid for all reduced extended affine Weyl groups (Corollary 2.29).

In this work we consider non-simply laced extended affine root systems (Weyl groups). Since the problem of existence of the presentation by conjugation for extended affine Weyl groups of type BC_ℓ can be reduced to those of type B_ℓ (see [Lemma 5.7][H1]), we only concentrate on types B_ℓ , C_ℓ , F_4 and G_2 .

In Section 1, we record some basic facts regarding semilattices which will be of our use in the forthcoming sections. We have tried to keep this section as short as possible, we refer the interested reader to [AABGP], [A4] and [AS2] for details on the topics involved.

In Section 2, we associate a presented group $\hat{\mathcal{W}}$ to the extended affine root system R (see Definition 2.1). First, by using only the defining relations of $\hat{\mathcal{W}}$, we find a suitable finite set of generators for $\hat{\mathcal{W}}$ and its center and also determine the relations among these generators (see Lemmas 2.5, 2.12–2.15 and Proposition 2.19(i)–(vii)). Next, we show that kernel of ψ is isomorphic to the direct sum of $n_0 = \log_2 n$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}_2$, where n is the number of integral collections for R (see Proposition 2.23). Finally, we state our main theorem (Theorem 2.33) which reveals several necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the presentation by conjugation for a given extended affine Weyl group. In particular, $\mathcal{W}$ has the presentation by conjugation if and only if R has only one integral collection, namely the trivial collection. This provides a computational tool by which one can determine whether or not a given extended affine Weyl group has the presentation by conjugation.

As an application of the main theorem, we determine a large class of extended affine Weyl groups which have (or don't have) the presentation by conjugation. In particular, up to isomorphism, we give the precise answer for the existence of the presentation by conjugation for all extended affine Weyl groups of nullities ≤ 3 (see Corollaries 2.36–2.41). We concluded the paper with an appendix which provides a second proof for one of the earlier results in the paper.

The authors would like to thank A. Abdollahi for a fruitful discussion on Hopfian groups which led to the proof of Lemma 1.18.

1. Preliminaries

1.1. Essential supporting class of a semilattice. For any positive integer n , we write $J_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and for $t \in J_n$, we set $J_n^t = \{t+1, \dots, n\}$. Also if $r, s \in J_n$ with $r < s$, we write $r < s \in J_n$, in this case also we denote $\{r, s\}$ with $\{r < s\}$. We use a similar notation for $r \leq s$. If $J \subseteq J_n$ and x_r 's are elements of a group G , by $\prod_{r \in J} x_r$ we mean the product with the usual order on J as a subset of J_n . If J is an empty set, we interpret the product as zero.

Let S be a *semilattice* in a ν -dimensional real vector space $\mathcal{V}^0$, that is, S is a discrete spanning subset of $\mathcal{V}^0$ satisfying $0 \in S$ and $S = S \pm 2S$. The $\mathbb{Z}$ -span $\langle S \rangle$ of S is a free abelian group of rank ν , called a *lattice* in $\mathcal{V}^0$. By [AABGP, Proposition II.1.11], there exists a set $\mathcal{B} = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_\nu\}$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{B} \subseteq S, \quad \mathcal{V}^0 = \sum_{r=1}^{\nu} \mathbb{R}\sigma_r \quad \text{and} \quad \langle S \rangle = \sum_{r=1}^{\nu} \mathbb{Z}\sigma_r. \quad (1.1)$$

We call such a set $\mathcal{B}$, a *basis* for S and we fix it throughout this work. For a set $J \subseteq J_\nu$ we put

$$\tau_J := \sum_{r \in J} \sigma_r \in \langle S \rangle \quad (1.2)$$

(If $J = \emptyset$ we have by convention $\sum_{r \in J} \sigma_r = 0$). With respect to $\mathcal{B}$ we define

$$\text{supp}_{\mathcal{B}}(S) = \{J \subseteq J_\nu : \tau_J \in S\} \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$\text{Esupp}_{\mathcal{B}}(S) = \{J \in \text{supp}_{\mathcal{B}}(S) : |J| \geq 3\}. \quad (1.4)$$

Since $S \pm 2S \subseteq S$, it follows that

$$S = \biguplus_{J \in \text{supp}_{\mathcal{B}}(S)} (\tau_J + 2\langle S \rangle).$$

The collections $\text{supp}_{\mathcal{B}}(S)$ and $\text{Esupp}_{\mathcal{B}}(S)$ are called the *supporting class* and *essential supporting class* of S (with respect to the basis $\mathcal{B}$), respectively. We drop the subscript $\mathcal{B}$ when there is no confusion. The integer $|\text{supp}_{\mathcal{B}}(S)| - 1$ is called the *index* of S and is denoted by $\text{ind}(S)$. Since $\mathcal{B} \subseteq S$, we have $\{\emptyset, \{1\}, \dots, \{\nu\}\} \subseteq \text{supp}_{\mathcal{B}}(S)$, and so $\nu \leq \text{ind}(S) \leq 2^\nu$.

1.2. Extended affine Weyl groups. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a finite dimensional real vector space and $(\cdot, \cdot)$ be a symmetric positive semidefinite bilinear form on $\mathcal{V}$. An element $\alpha \in \mathcal{V}$ is called *non-isotropic* (resp. *isotropic*) if $(\alpha, \alpha) \neq 0$ (resp. $(\alpha, \alpha) = 0$). For a non-isotropic element α , we set $\alpha^\vee = 2\alpha/(\alpha, \alpha)$. The set of non-isotropic elements of a subset A will be denoted by $A^\times$.

Throughout this work we assume $(\mathcal{V}, (\cdot, \cdot), R)$ is a reduced non-simply laced extended affine root system of rank ℓ , nullity ν and twist number t (see [AABGP, Chapter II] for details). As it was explained in the Section 0, the results for type BC_ℓ can be reduced to those of type B_ℓ . We denote the type of R with X . So $X = B_\ell (\ell \geq 2)$, $C_\ell (\ell \geq 3)$, F_4 , or G_2 . Let $\mathcal{V}^0$ be the radical of the form. By [AABGP, Lemma II.4.15 and Proposition

II.4.17], we may find two subspaces $\mathcal{V}_1^0$ and $\mathcal{V}_2^0$ of dimension t and $\nu - t$, respectively, and two semilattices S_1 and S_2 in $\mathcal{V}_1^0$ and $\mathcal{V}_2^0$, respectively, with $\mathcal{V}^0 = \mathcal{V}_1^0 \oplus \mathcal{V}_2^0$ and

$$R = R(X, S_1, S_2) := (S + S) \cup (\dot{R}_{sh} + S_1 \oplus \langle S_2 \rangle) \cup (\dot{R}_{lg} + k\langle S_1 \rangle \oplus S_2), \quad (1.5)$$

where $S := S_1 \oplus \langle S_2 \rangle$, and $\dot{R} := \{0\} \cup \dot{R}_{sh} \cup \dot{R}_{lg}$ is an irreducible finite root system of type X and rank ℓ with $\dot{R}_{sh}$ as the set of short roots and $\dot{R}_{lg}$ as the set of long roots of $\dot{R}$. Also $k = 3$ if $X = G_2$ and $k = 2$, otherwise. Note that if $t = \nu$, then $\mathcal{V}_2^0 = S_2 = \{0\}$. By [AABGP, Proposition II.4.9], if $X = F_4$ or G_2 , then S_1 and S_2 are lattices in $\mathcal{V}_1^0$ and $\mathcal{V}_2^0$, respectively. Also, if $X = B_\ell$ (resp. $X = C_\ell$) with $\ell \geq 3$, then S_2 (resp. S_1) is a lattice in $\mathcal{V}_2^0$ (resp. $\mathcal{V}_1^0$). It is known from the classification of finite root systems that we may fix (we do) a basis

$$\dot{\Pi} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\} \text{ of } \dot{R} \text{ with } \alpha_1 \in \dot{R}_{sh}, \alpha_2 \in \dot{R}_{lg} \text{ and } (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \neq 0. \quad (1.6)$$

To emphasize on the roles of α_1 and α_2 in our work and to distinguish them from other simple roots in $\dot{\Pi}$, we set

$$\theta_1 := \alpha_1 \text{ and } \theta_2 := \alpha_2.$$

As in Subsection 1.1, we may fix a basis $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2$ of S with $\mathcal{B}_1 := \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_t\}$, $\mathcal{B}_2 := \{\sigma_{t+1}, \dots, \sigma_\nu\}$ and

$$\mathcal{B}_1 \subseteq S_1 \text{ with } \langle S_1 \rangle = \sum_{r=1}^t \mathbb{Z}\sigma_r \text{ and } \mathcal{B}_2 \subseteq S_2 \text{ with } \langle S_2 \rangle = \sum_{r=t+1}^\nu \mathbb{Z}\sigma_r, \quad (1.7)$$

that is $\mathcal{B}_1$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_2$) is a basis of S_1 (resp. S_2).

Here we need to recall some terms from [AS3] which will be needed in the sequel. For any $i \in J_\ell$ and $r \in J_\nu$, we set

$$k_{i,r} := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \alpha_i + n\sigma_r \in R\}. \quad (1.8)$$

Then from (1.5) and (1.7) we have

$$\alpha_i + \mathbb{Z}k_{i,r}\sigma_r \subseteq R. \text{ with } k_{i,r} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \alpha_i \in \dot{R}_{sh}, \\ k_r, & \text{if } \alpha_i \in \dot{R}_{lg}, \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

where

$$k_r := \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } r \in J_t, \\ 1, & \text{if } r \in J_\nu^t. \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

Next, for all $r \leq s \in J_\nu$ and $i, j \in J_\ell$, we set

$$a_{i,j}(r) := k_{j,r}k_{i,r}^{-1}(\alpha_i, \alpha_j^\vee), \quad a_{i,j}(r, s) := k k_r^{-1}k_{i,r}k_{j,s}(\alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j^\vee), \quad (1.11)$$

and

$$\Delta(r, s) := \begin{cases} \delta_1(r, s), & \text{if } r < s \in J_t, \\ 1, & \text{if } (r, s) \in J_t \times J_\nu^t, \\ \delta_2(r, s), & \text{if } r < s \in J_\nu^t, \end{cases} \quad (1.12)$$

where

$$\delta_j(r, s) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \{r, s\} \in \text{supp}(S_j), \\ 2, & \text{if } \{r, s\} \notin \text{supp}(S_j). \end{cases} \quad (1.13)$$

By [AS3, (2.18) and (2.19)], we have

$$a_{i,j}(r) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(r, s)^{-1} a_{i,j}(r, s) \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (1.14)$$

We now briefly recall the definition of an extended affine Weyl group. Let $\dot{\mathcal{V}}$ be the real span of $\dot{R}$ and set $\tilde{\mathcal{V}} := \mathcal{V} \oplus (\mathcal{V}^0)^* = \dot{\mathcal{V}} \oplus \mathcal{V}^0 \oplus (\mathcal{V}^0)^*$, where $(\mathcal{V}^0)^*$ is the dual space of $\mathcal{V}^0$. Extend the form on $\mathcal{V}$ to $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ naturally, by dual pairing, namely

$$(\dot{\beta}_1 + \delta_1 + \lambda_1, \dot{\beta}_2 + \delta_2 + \lambda_2) := (\dot{\beta}_1, \dot{\beta}_2) + \lambda_1(\delta_2) + \lambda_2(\delta_1), \quad (1.15)$$

for $\dot{\beta}_i \in \dot{\mathcal{V}}$, $\delta_i \in \mathcal{V}^0$ and $\lambda_i \in (\mathcal{V}^0)^*$. The (*extended affine*) *Weyl group* $\mathcal{W}$ of R is by definition the subgroup of $GL(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ generated by reflections w_α , $\alpha \in R^\times$, defined by $w_\alpha(u) = u - (u, \alpha^\vee)\alpha$, $u \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$. We may identify the finite Weyl group $\dot{\mathcal{W}}$ of $\dot{R}$ as a subgroup of $\mathcal{W}$. We note that the following relations hold in $\mathcal{W}$.

$$w_\alpha^2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad ww_\alpha w^{-1} = w_{w(\alpha)} \quad (\alpha \in R^\times, w \in \mathcal{W}). \quad (1.16)$$

Finally, we recall from [A4, Lemma 3.18(i)] or [AS3, Proposition 2.1 (vii)-(viii)] that

$$\text{the center } Z(\mathcal{W}) \text{ of } \mathcal{W} \text{ is a free abelian group of rank } \nu(\nu - 1)/2. \quad (1.17)$$

We recall that a group G is called *Hopfian* if any epimorphism from G onto G is one-to-one. A group G is said to satisfy *Max- n* condition if any ascending chain of its normal subgroups terminates. Finite groups and free abelian groups of finite rank satisfy *Max- n* condition. Also if N is normal in G and both N and G/N satisfy *Max- n* condition then so does G . Finally, it is known that any group satisfying *Max- n* condition is Hopfian (see [R], page 40).

Lemma 1.18. *$\mathcal{W}$ is a Hopfian group.*

Proof. From Lemma 3.18 and [A4, Propositions 3.25, 3.27], we know that $\mathcal{W}$ contains a normal subgroup H , satisfying $\mathcal{W} \cong \dot{\mathcal{W}} \ltimes H$, where $Z(H)$ and $H/Z(H)$ are free abelian groups of finite rank. Since $Z(H)$, $H/Z(H)$ and $\mathcal{W}/H$ satisfy *Max- n* , $\mathcal{W}$ also does, and so is Hopfian. $\square$

Remark 1.19. (i) The fact that $\mathcal{W}$ is Hopfian also follows from Theorem 2.33.

(ii) If R is the root system of an extended affine Lie algebra, then the Weyl group of R which we defined here is isomorphic to the Weyl group of the corresponding Lie algebra which, as usual, is defined as a subgroup of the general linear group of the corresponding Cartan subalgebra.

2. PRESENTATION BY CONJUGATION

We keep all the notations and assumptions as in the previous section. In particular, R is a reduced non-simply laced extended affine root system of rank ℓ , nullity ν and twist number t of the form (1.5) and $\mathcal{W}$ is its extended affine Weyl group. Throughout this work we denote the center of a group G with $Z(G)$ and the commutator $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ of $x, y \in G$ with $[x, y]$. Recall that if $x, y \in Z(G)$, then $xy = yx$, and so $(xy)^n = x^n y^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Also if $x, y, z \in G$ and $[x, y] \in Z(G)$, then $[x, yz] = [x, y][x, z]$ and $[x^n, z^m] = [x, z]^{nm}$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. These facts will be used in the sequel without any further reference.

Definition 2.1. Let $\hat{\mathcal{W}}$ be the group defined by generators $\hat{w}_\alpha$, $\alpha \in R^\times$ and relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(I)} \quad & \hat{w}_\alpha^2 = 1, \quad \alpha \in R^\times \\ \text{(II)} \quad & \hat{w}_\alpha \hat{w}_\beta \hat{w}_\alpha = \hat{w}_{w_\alpha(\beta)}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in R^\times. \end{aligned}$$

We say that the extended affine Weyl group $\mathcal{W}$ of R has *the presentation by conjugation* if $\mathcal{W} \cong \hat{\mathcal{W}}$. It follows from Lemma 1.18 that, $\mathcal{W}$ has the presentation by conjugation if and only if the epimorphism

$$\psi : \hat{\mathcal{W}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}, \quad (2.2)$$

induced by the assignment $\hat{w}_\alpha \mapsto w_\alpha$ is one-to-one. (Note that by (1.16), the defining relations of $\hat{\mathcal{W}}$ are satisfied in $\mathcal{W}$.)

Since the finite Weyl group $\dot{\mathcal{W}}$ has the presentation by conjugation (see [St]), the restriction of ψ to $\hat{\dot{\mathcal{W}}} := \langle \hat{w}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \dot{R}^\times \rangle$ induces the isomorphism

$$\hat{\dot{\mathcal{W}}} \xrightarrow{\psi} \dot{\mathcal{W}}. \quad (2.3)$$

One can easily deduce from relation (I) and (II) that

$$\hat{w} \hat{w}_\alpha \hat{w}^{-1} = \hat{w}_{\psi(\hat{w})(\alpha)} \quad (\hat{w} \in \hat{\mathcal{W}}, \alpha \in R^\times). \quad (2.4)$$

Lemma 2.5. (i) $\ker(\psi) \subseteq Z(\hat{\mathcal{W}})$.

(ii) $Z(\hat{\mathcal{W}}) = \psi^{-1}(Z(\mathcal{W}))$ and $\psi(Z(\hat{\mathcal{W}})) = Z(\mathcal{W})$.

(iii) $Z(\hat{\mathcal{W}})/\ker(\psi) \cong Z(\mathcal{W})$.

Proof. (i) Let $\hat{w} \in \ker(\psi)$. From (2.4) we have $\hat{w} \hat{w}_\alpha \hat{w}^{-1} = \hat{w}_{\psi(\hat{w})(\alpha)} = \hat{w}_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in R^\times$ and so $\hat{w} \in Z(\hat{\mathcal{W}})$. Thus (i) holds.

(ii) Let $\hat{w} \in Z(\hat{\mathcal{W}})$ and $\hat{z} \in \psi^{-1}(Z(\mathcal{W}))$. Then From (1.16) and the fact that $\psi(\hat{z})(\alpha) = \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{V}$ (see [AS3, Proposition 2.1(vi), (2.13) and (2.7)]) we have $\psi(\hat{w})w_\alpha\psi(\hat{w})^{-1} = \psi(\hat{w}\hat{w}_\alpha\hat{w}^{-1}) = \psi(\hat{w}_\alpha) = w_\alpha$ and $\hat{z}\hat{w}_\alpha\hat{z}^{-1} = \hat{w}_{\psi(\hat{z})(\alpha)} = \hat{w}_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in R^\times$ and so $\hat{w} \in \psi^{-1}(Z(\mathcal{W}))$ and $\hat{z} \in Z(\hat{\mathcal{W}})$. Thus (ii) holds. By (ii), the restriction of ψ to $Z(\hat{\mathcal{W}})$ induces the epimorphism $\psi : Z(\hat{\mathcal{W}}) \longrightarrow Z(\mathcal{W})$ and so (iii) holds. $\square$

Let $\text{supp}(S_j)$, $j = 1, 2$, be the supporting class of S_j with respect to $\mathcal{B}_j$ (see (1.3)) and $\dot{\Pi}$ be the basis of $\dot{R}$ in the form (1.6). We set

$$\Pi := \dot{\Pi} \cup \Pi_X, \quad \text{where} \quad (2.6)$$

$$\Pi_X := \begin{cases} \{\theta_1 + \tau_J \mid J \in \text{supp}(S_1)\} \cup \{\theta_2 + \tau_J \mid J \in \text{supp}(S_2)\}, & \text{if } X = B_2, \\ \{\theta_1 + \tau_J \mid J \in \text{supp}(S_1)\} \cup \{\theta_2 + \sigma_r \mid r \in J_\nu^t\}, & \text{if } X = B_\ell (\ell \geq 3), \\ \{\theta_1 + \sigma_r \mid r \in J_t\} \cup \{\theta_2 + \tau_J \mid J \in \text{supp}(S_2)\}, & \text{if } X = C_\ell (\ell \geq 3), \\ \{\theta_1 + \sigma_r, \theta_2 + \sigma_s \mid r \in J_t, s \in J_\nu^t\}, & \text{if } X = F_4 \text{ or } G_2. \end{cases}$$

An argument similar to [A4, Section 4] gives the following lemma (see [Sh, Theorem 2.3.3] for a detailed proof).

Lemma 2.7. $\mathcal{W} = \langle w_\alpha : \alpha \in \Pi \rangle$ and $\mathcal{W}\Pi = R^\times$.

From the way $\hat{\mathcal{W}}$ is defined we have $\hat{\mathcal{W}} = F/N$, where F is the free group on the set $\{r_\alpha \mid \alpha \in R^\times\}$ and N is the normal closure of the set $K := \{r_\alpha^2, r_\alpha r_\gamma r_\alpha r_{w_\alpha(\gamma)} \mid \alpha, \gamma \in R^\times\}$ in F . Then $\hat{w}_\alpha = r_\alpha N$, $\alpha \in R^\times$. Now fix $\beta \in R^\times$ and let $\mathcal{W}\beta$ be the orbit of β under $\mathcal{W}$. Let $\Phi_\beta : F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ be the epimorphism induced by the assignment

$$\Phi_\beta(r_\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \alpha \in \mathcal{W}\beta, \\ 0, & \text{if } \alpha \in R^\times \setminus \mathcal{W}\beta. \end{cases}$$

Since $K \subseteq \ker(\Phi_\beta)$, the epimorphism Φ_β induces a unique epimorphism $\Phi_\beta : \hat{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ so that $\bar{\Phi}_\beta(\hat{w}_\alpha) = \Phi_\beta(r_\alpha)$, $\alpha \in R^\times$. Then

$$\bar{\Phi}_\beta(\langle \hat{w}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in R^\times \setminus \mathcal{W}\beta \rangle) = \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\Phi}_\beta(\hat{w}_{\beta_1} \cdots \hat{w}_{\beta_n}) = \bar{n}, \quad (\beta_i \in \mathcal{W}\beta), \quad (2.8)$$

where $\bar{n}$ is the image of n in $\mathbb{Z}_2$ under the natural map.

Lemma 2.9. $\{\hat{w}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Pi\}$ is a minimal set of generators for $\hat{\mathcal{W}}$.

Proof. If $\alpha \in R^\times$, then by Lemma 2.7 we have $\alpha = w_{\beta_1} \cdots w_{\beta_m}(\beta)$ for some $\beta_i \in \Pi$ and so by (2.4), $\hat{w}_\alpha = \hat{w} \hat{w}_\beta \hat{w}^{-1} \in \langle \hat{w}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Pi \rangle$ where $\hat{w} = \hat{w}_{\beta_1} \cdots \hat{w}_{\beta_m}$. Thus the set in the statement generates $\hat{\mathcal{W}}$. To show that it is minimal, fix $\beta \in \Pi$ and set $\Pi_\beta := \Pi \setminus \{\beta\}$. We must show that the elements $\hat{w}_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \Pi_\beta$ do not generate $\hat{\mathcal{W}}$. We show this by a type dependent argument. First we note from [A4, Lemma 2.16] that

$$\mathcal{W}\beta \subseteq \begin{cases} \beta - \theta_1 + \dot{R}_{sh} + \langle L \rangle & \text{if } X = B_\ell (\ell \geq 2), \beta \in R_{sh}, \\ \beta - \theta_2 + \dot{R}_{lg} + 2\langle S \rangle & \text{if } X = B_2 \text{ or } X = C_\ell (\ell \geq 3), \beta \in R_{lg}. \end{cases}$$

From this (and the way Π is defined) one sees that $\Pi_\beta \subseteq R^\times \setminus \mathcal{W}\beta$. So from (2.8) we have $\bar{\Phi}_\beta(\hat{w}) = 0$ for any $\hat{w} \in \langle \hat{w}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Pi_\beta \rangle$ and $\bar{\Phi}_\beta(\hat{w}_\beta) = 1$. Thus $\hat{w}_\beta \notin \langle \hat{w}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Pi_\beta \rangle$. Next let, $\beta \in R_{lg}$ for $X = B_\ell (\ell \geq 3)$ or $\beta \in R_{sh}$ for $X = C_\ell (\ell \geq 3)$. Then from the way Π is defined, it is clear that Π_β spans a $(\nu + \ell - 1)$ -dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{V}$. Now suppose to the contrary that $\hat{w}_\beta = \hat{w}_{\beta_1} \cdots \hat{w}_{\beta_m}$ for some $\beta_i \in \Pi_\beta$. Then applying the epimorphism ψ we get $w_\beta = w_{\beta_1} \cdots w_{\beta_m}$. By acting both sides on β we see that $\beta \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\} \subseteq \text{span}\Pi_\beta$. That is $\text{span}\Pi_\beta = \text{span}\Pi = \nu + \ell$, a contradiction. The argument for types F_4 and G_2 is exactly the same as what we did in this paragraph. This completes the proof. $\square$

For $\alpha \in R^\times$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{V}^0$ with $\alpha + \sigma \in R$, we set

$$\hat{t}_\alpha^\sigma = \hat{w}_{\alpha+\sigma} \hat{w}_\alpha. \quad (2.10)$$

The elements of this form play a crucial role in our description of $\hat{\mathcal{W}}$.

Convention 2.11. We reserve the symbols α, β, γ for elements of $R^\times$ and symbols δ, σ, η for elements of $\mathcal{V}^0$. By a symbol such as $\hat{w}_{\alpha+\sigma}$ or $\hat{t}_\alpha^\sigma$, we always mean that $\alpha \in R^\times$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{V}^0$ and $\alpha + \sigma \in R$. In places that this convention might cause confusion we clarify the situation. To see how we use this convention note for example that from Lemma 2.12(iii) we understand that $\delta_r \in \mathcal{V}^0$ and $\alpha, \alpha + \delta_r, \alpha + \sum_{r=1}^n \delta_r \in R$, for all r .

Lemma 2.12. *Any element in $\hat{\mathcal{W}}$ which has one of the following forms is central:*

- (i) $[\hat{t}_\alpha^\sigma, \hat{t}_\beta^\delta]$,
- (ii) $\hat{t}_{\alpha+\sigma}^\delta \hat{t}_\alpha^{-\delta}$,
- (iii) $\hat{t}_\alpha^{-\sum_{r=1}^n \delta_r} \prod_{r=1}^n \hat{t}_\alpha^{\delta_r}$.

Proof. Since the image of each element of the given forms is central in $Z(\mathcal{W})$ (see [AS1, Lemmas 1.1(iii)-(vii) and 1.2] for details), the result follows immediately from Lemma 2.5(ii). $\square$

Here we record the following useful lemma from [A3, Lemma 3.18]. For the convenience of the reader we present its proof here with a simpler argument than the one in [A3]. We recall from [AABGP, Chapter II] that if $\alpha, \alpha + \sigma \in R^\times$ for some $\sigma \in \mathcal{V}^0$, then $\alpha + n\sigma \in R^\times$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 2.13. $(\hat{t}_\alpha^\sigma)^n = \hat{t}_\alpha^{n\sigma}$ and $\hat{t}_{\alpha+n\sigma}^\sigma = \hat{t}_\alpha^\sigma$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, $\hat{t}_\alpha^{-\sigma} = \hat{t}_{-\alpha}^\sigma$.

Proof. Clearly the first claim holds for $n = 0, 1$, moreover, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $w_{\alpha+\sigma} w_\alpha (\alpha + (n-2)\sigma) = \alpha + n\sigma$. Then using induction on $n \geq 0$ and applying relations (I), (II), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{t}_\alpha^{n\sigma} = \hat{w}_{\alpha+n\sigma} \hat{w}_\alpha &= [(\hat{w}_{\alpha+\sigma} \hat{w}_\alpha) \hat{w}_{\alpha+(n-2)\sigma} (\hat{w}_{\alpha+\sigma} \hat{w}_\alpha)^{-1}] \hat{w}_\alpha \\ &= (\hat{w}_{\alpha+\sigma} \hat{w}_\alpha) (\hat{w}_{\alpha+(n-2)\sigma} \hat{w}_\alpha) (\hat{w}_{\alpha+\sigma} \hat{w}_\alpha) \\ &= (\hat{w}_{\alpha+\sigma} \hat{w}_\alpha) (\hat{w}_{\alpha+\sigma} \hat{w}_\alpha)^{n-2} (\hat{w}_{\alpha+\sigma} \hat{w}_\alpha) \\ &= (\hat{w}_{\alpha+\sigma} \hat{w}_\alpha)^n = (\hat{t}_\alpha^\sigma)^n. \end{aligned}$$

If $n < 0$, then $(\hat{w}_{\alpha+\sigma} \hat{w}_\alpha)^n = (\hat{w}_{\alpha-\sigma} \hat{w}_\alpha)^{-n} = w_{\alpha+n\sigma} \hat{w}_\alpha$. The second claim holds since

$$\hat{t}_{\alpha+n\sigma}^\sigma = \hat{w}_{\alpha+n\sigma+\sigma} (\hat{w}_\alpha \hat{w}_\alpha) \hat{w}_{\alpha+n\sigma} = \hat{t}_\alpha^{(n+1)\sigma} \hat{t}_\alpha^{-n\sigma} = \hat{t}_\alpha^\sigma.$$

Finally, since by (2.4), $\hat{w}_\alpha \hat{w}_{\alpha+\sigma} \hat{w}_\alpha = \hat{w}_{-\alpha+\sigma}$, the last assertion holds. $\square$

Lemma 2.14. (i) $\hat{t}_{\alpha+\sigma}^{-\delta} \hat{t}_\alpha^\delta = \hat{t}_{\alpha+\delta}^\sigma \hat{t}_\alpha^{-\sigma}$.

(ii) $[\hat{t}_\alpha^\sigma, \hat{t}_\beta^\delta] = \hat{t}_{\beta-(\beta, \alpha^\vee)\sigma}^{-\delta} \hat{t}_\beta^\delta = \hat{t}_{\beta+\delta}^{-(\beta, \alpha^\vee)\sigma} \hat{t}_\beta^{(\beta, \alpha^\vee)\sigma}$. In particular, if $(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ or $\sigma = \delta$, then $[\hat{t}_\alpha^\sigma, \hat{t}_\beta^\delta] = 1$.

Proof. (i) By Lemmas 2.12(ii) and 2.13, we have

$$\hat{t}_{\alpha+\sigma}^{-\delta} \hat{t}_\alpha^\delta = \hat{w}_{\alpha+\sigma} \hat{w}_{\alpha+\sigma+\delta} \hat{w}_{\alpha+\delta} \hat{w}_\alpha = \hat{w}_{\alpha+\sigma+\delta} \hat{w}_{\alpha+\delta} \hat{w}_\alpha \hat{w}_{\alpha+\sigma} = \hat{t}_{\alpha+\delta}^\sigma \hat{t}_\alpha^{-\sigma}.$$

(We have used the fact that if xy is central for two elements x, y of a group G , then $xy = yx$.)

(ii) Using (2.4) and Lemma 2.13, we have $[\hat{t}_\alpha^\sigma, \hat{t}_\beta^\delta] = \hat{t}_\alpha^{-\sigma} \hat{t}_\beta^{-\delta} \hat{t}_\alpha^\sigma \hat{t}_\beta^\delta = \hat{t}_{\psi(\hat{t}_\alpha^{-\sigma})(\beta)}^{-\delta} \hat{t}_\beta^\delta$. But $\psi(\hat{t}_\alpha^{-\sigma}(\beta)) = w_\alpha w_{\alpha+\sigma}(\beta) = \beta - (\beta, \alpha^\vee)\sigma$. The second equality follows immediately from part (i) and (2.10). Finally if $(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ or $\sigma = \delta$, then using Lemma 2.13, we are done. $\square$

Lemma 2.15. (a) If α, β belong to the same orbit of $R^\times$, under the action of $\mathcal{W}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & [\hat{t}_\alpha^\sigma, \hat{t}_\alpha^\delta] = [\hat{t}_\beta^\sigma, \hat{t}_\beta^\delta], \\ (ii) \quad & \hat{t}_{\alpha+\sigma}^\delta \hat{t}_\alpha^{-\delta} = \hat{t}_{\beta+\sigma}^\delta \hat{t}_\beta^{-\delta}, \\ (iii) \quad & \hat{t}_\alpha^{-\sum_{r=1}^n \delta_r} \prod_{r=1}^n \hat{t}_\alpha^{\delta_r} = \hat{t}_\beta^{-\sum_{r=1}^n \delta_r} \prod_{r=1}^n \hat{t}_\beta^{\delta_r}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) If α, α' belong to the same orbit of $R^\times$ under the action of $\mathcal{W}$ and β, β' are elements of $R^\times$ such that $(\alpha, \beta^\vee) = (\alpha', \beta'^\vee)$ then

$$[\hat{t}_\beta^\delta, \hat{t}_\alpha^\sigma] = [\hat{t}_{\beta'}^\delta, \hat{t}_{\alpha'}^\sigma].$$

Proof. (a) Let $w \in \mathcal{W}$ be such that $\beta = w(\alpha)$ and fix a primage $\hat{w} \in \hat{\mathcal{W}}$ of w under ψ . By Lemma 2.12, the left hand sides (LHS) of the equalities in the statement are all central, and so $\hat{w} \text{LHS} \hat{w}^{-1} = \text{LHS}$. But by (2.4), we have $\hat{w} \hat{w}_{\alpha+\eta} \hat{w}^{-1} = \hat{w}_{\beta+\eta}$ for any $\eta \in \mathcal{V}^0$. The result now follows immediately.

(b) Let $w \in \mathcal{W}$ be such that $\alpha' = w(\alpha)$ and fix a primage $\hat{w} \in \hat{\mathcal{W}}$ of w under ψ . Then using Lemma 2.14(ii) and (2.4) we have

$$[\hat{t}_\beta^\delta, \hat{t}_\alpha^\sigma] = \hat{w} [\hat{t}_\beta^\delta, \hat{t}_\alpha^\sigma] \hat{w}^{-1} = \hat{t}_{w(\alpha) - (\alpha, \beta^\vee)\delta}^{-\sigma} \hat{t}_{w(\alpha)}^\sigma = \hat{t}_{\alpha' - (\alpha', \beta'^\vee)\delta}^{-\sigma} \hat{t}_{\alpha'}^\sigma = [\hat{t}_{\beta'}^\delta, \hat{t}_{\alpha'}^\sigma].$$

This completed the proof. $\square$

For further study of the center of $\hat{\mathcal{W}}$ we need to introduce some new terms. Our motivation for defining the term $\hat{z}_J$ below has been [AS3, Lemma 2.3]. For $J \subseteq J_\nu$, $\alpha, \alpha' \in \dot{R}_{sh}$ and $\beta, \beta' \in \dot{R}_{lg}$ with $(\alpha', \beta') < 0$, we set

$$\hat{z}_J := \begin{cases} \hat{t}_\alpha^{-\tau_J} \prod_{r \in J} \hat{t}_\alpha^{\sigma_r}, & \text{if } J \in \text{supp}(S_1), \\ [\hat{t}_\alpha^{\sigma_r}, \hat{t}_\alpha^{\sigma_s}], & \text{if } J = \{r, s\} \notin \text{supp}(S_1), r < s \in J_t, \\ \hat{t}_\beta^{-\tau_J} \prod_{r \in J} \hat{t}_\beta^{\sigma_r}, & \text{if } J \in \text{supp}(S_2), \\ [\hat{t}_\beta^{\sigma_r}, \hat{t}_\beta^{\sigma_s}], & \text{if } J = \{r, s\} \notin \text{supp}(S_2), r < s \in J_\nu^t, \\ [\hat{t}_{\beta'}^{\sigma_s}, \hat{t}_{\alpha'}^{\sigma_r}], & \text{if } J = \{r, s\}, r \in J_t, s \in J_\nu^t, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.16)$$

We note that by Lemma 2.15, definition of $\hat{z}_J$ does not depend on the particular choice of $(\alpha, \beta) \in \dot{R}_{sh} \times \dot{R}_{lg}$ and $(\alpha', \beta') \in \dot{R}_{sh} \times \dot{R}_{lg}$ with $(\alpha', \beta') < 0$ (if $\alpha', \alpha'' \in \dot{R}_{sh}, \beta', \beta'' \in \dot{R}_{lg}$ with $(\alpha', \beta') < 0$ and $(\alpha'', \beta'') < 0$, then $(\alpha', \beta'^\vee) = (\alpha'', \beta''^\vee)$). Note also that

$\hat{z}_{\{r\}} = 1$ for all r . By (1.9), (2.10) and Lemmas 2.12 and 2.5(ii) we have (for $J \subseteq J_\nu$ and $(i, r) \in J_\ell \times J_\nu$)

$$\hat{t}_{i,r} := \hat{t}_{\alpha_i}^{k_{i,r}\sigma_r} = \hat{w}_{\alpha_i + k_{i,r}\sigma_r} \hat{w}_{\alpha_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{z}_J \in Z(\hat{W}). \quad (2.17)$$

The following proposition plays an essential role in the sequel. Our argument for part (iii) of this proposition relies on several known results in the literature. In particular, a known fact that the presentation by conjugation holds for all extended affine Weyl groups of nullities ≤ 2 is used in the argument. For convenience of the reader we give another proof for Proposition 2.19(iii) in the appendix (see Lemma 3.1) which assumes no previous knowledge about the presentation by conjugation for low nullities.

Let us set

$$\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}(X, S_1, S_2) := \begin{cases} \text{Esupp}(S_1) \cup \text{Esupp}(S_2) & \text{if } X = B_2, \\ \text{Esupp}(S_1) & \text{if } X = B_\ell (\ell > 2), \\ \text{Esupp}(S_2) & \text{if } X = C_\ell (\ell > 2), \\ \emptyset & \text{if } X = F_4 \text{ or } G_2. \end{cases} \quad (2.18)$$

($\text{Esupp}(S_j)$, $j = 1, 2$ is given by (1.4).)

Proposition 2.19. (i) $\hat{W} = \langle \hat{w}_{\alpha_i}, \hat{t}_{i,r}, \hat{z}_{\{r,s\}}, \hat{z}_J \mid i \in J_\ell, r \leq s \in J_\nu, J \in \mathcal{J} \rangle$,
(ii) If $i, j \in J_\ell$, $r \in J_\nu$ and $a_{i,j}(r)$ is given by (1.11), then

$$\hat{w}_{\alpha_i} \hat{t}_{j,r} \hat{w}_{\alpha_i} = \hat{t}_{j,r} \hat{t}_{i,r}^{-a_{i,j}(r)},$$

(iii) If $i, j \in J_\ell$, $r \leq s \in J_\nu$, then

$$[\hat{t}_{i,r}, \hat{t}_{j,s}] = \hat{z}_{\{r,s\}}^{\Delta(r,s)^{-1} a_{i,j}(r,s)},$$

where $a_{i,j}(r, s)$ and $\Delta(r, s)$ are given by (1.11) and (1.12),

(iv) If $J \in \text{supp}(S_1) \cup \text{supp}(S_2)$, then

$$\hat{z}_J^2 = \prod_{\{r,s \in J : r < s\}} \hat{z}_{\{r,s\}}^{2\Delta(r,s)^{-1}},$$

(v) $Z(\hat{W}) = \langle \hat{z}_{\{r,s\}}, \hat{z}_J \mid r < s \in J_\nu, J \in \mathcal{J} \rangle$, where $\mathcal{J}$ is given by (2.18).

Proof. (i) Let T be a group given in the right hand side of the statement. By (2.17) and Lemma 2.9 we only need to show that for any $\beta \in \Pi$, $\hat{w}_\beta \in T$. Clearly this holds if $\beta = \alpha_i$ for some $i \in J_\ell$. If $\beta = \theta_j + \sigma_r$ for some $(j, r) \in (\{1\} \times J_t) \cup (\{2\} \times J_\nu^t)$, then from the fact that $\hat{w}_\beta = \hat{t}_{j,r} \hat{w}_{\theta_j}$, it is clear that $\hat{w}_\beta \in T$. Next, let $\beta = \theta_j + \tau_J$, for some $j \in \{1, 2\}$ and $J \in \text{supp}(S_j)$, then from the way $\hat{z}_J$ is defined we have $\hat{w}_{\theta_j + \tau_J} = \hat{w}_{\theta_j} \hat{z}_J (\prod_{r \in J} \hat{t}_{j,r})^{-1} \in T$.

(ii) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{w}_{\alpha_i} \hat{t}_{j,r} \hat{w}_{\alpha_i} &= \hat{t}_{j,r} \hat{t}_{j,r}^{-1} \hat{w}_{\alpha_i} \hat{t}_{j,r} \hat{w}_{\alpha_i} \\
(\text{using (2.4)}) &= \hat{t}_{j,r} \hat{w}_{\psi(\hat{t}_{j,r}^{-1})(\alpha_i)} \hat{w}_{\alpha_i} \\
(\text{using (2.2), (2.17) and I}) &= \hat{t}_{j,r} \hat{w}_{w_{\alpha_i} w_{\alpha_i + k_{i,r} \sigma_r}(\alpha_i)} \hat{w}_{\alpha_i} \\
(\text{using (1.11)}) &= \hat{t}_{j,r} \hat{w}_{\alpha_i - k_{j,r}(\alpha_i, \alpha_j^\vee) \sigma_r} \hat{w}_{\alpha_i} = \hat{t}_{j,r} \hat{w}_{\alpha_i - a_{i,j}(r) k_{i,r} \sigma_r} \hat{w}_{\alpha_i} \\
(\text{using (1.14) and Lemma 2.13}) &= \hat{t}_{j,r} (\hat{w}_{\alpha_i + k_{i,r} \sigma_r} \hat{w}_{\alpha_i})^{-a_{i,j}(r)} = \hat{t}_{j,r} \hat{t}_{i,r}^{-a_{i,j}(r)}.
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) Set $\hat{z} := [\hat{t}_{i,r}, \hat{t}_{j,s}]_{\{r,s\}}^{-\Delta(r,s)^{-1} a_{i,j}(r,s)}$. From [AS3, Lemma 2.5(i)], it follows that $\psi(\hat{z}) = 1$. If $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = 0$, then by Lemma 2.14(ii), $\hat{z} = 1$. So we may assume $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \neq 0$. Set

$$T = R^\times \cap (\mathbb{R}\alpha_i + \mathbb{R}\alpha_j + \mathbb{R}\sigma_r + \mathbb{R}\sigma_s).$$

Clearly T satisfies the axioms of a Saito extended affine root system ([A2, Definition 1]) and so by [A2, Theorem 18], T is the set of non-isotropic roots of an extended affine root system R_T of nullity ≤ 2 (see also [H1, Proposition 5.9]). Let $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_T$ be the group defined similar to $\hat{\mathcal{W}}$, corresponding to the extended affine Weyl group of R_T . From (2.17) and (2.16) and the way R_T is defined, it follows that $\hat{z} \in \hat{\mathcal{W}}_T$. By [A3, Theorem 3.1], the Weyl group $\mathcal{W}_T$ has the presentation by conjugation, and so the restricted map $\psi : \hat{\mathcal{W}}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_T$ is an isomorphism. Thus $\hat{z} = 1$.

(iv) Let $J \in \text{supp}(S_j)$, $j \in \{1, 2\}$ and set $\hat{w} = \prod_{r \in J} \hat{t}_{j,r}$. Then $k_{j,r} = k_{j,s} = 1$ for all $r, s \in J$. Since $\psi(\hat{t}_{j,r})(\theta_j) = \theta_j + 2\sigma_r$ for all $r \in J$, we have $\psi(\hat{w})(\theta_j) = \theta_j + 2\tau_j$. So from (2.16) and the fact that $a_{j,j}(r, s) = a_{j,j}(r) = 2$ (see (1.11)) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{z}_J^2 &= (\hat{t}_{\theta_j}^{-\tau_j} \hat{w})^2 \\
(\text{using Lemmas 2.12(iii) and 2.13}) &= (\hat{t}_{\theta_j}^{-\tau_j})^2 \hat{w}^2 = (\hat{t}_{\theta_j}^{-2\tau_j}) \hat{w}^2 \\
(\text{using (2.10) and (2.17)}) &= \hat{w}_{\theta_j} \hat{w}_{\theta_j + 2\tau_j} \hat{w}^2 = \hat{w}_{\theta_j} \hat{w}_{\psi(\hat{w})(\theta_j)} \hat{w}^2 \\
(\text{using (2.4)}) &= \hat{w}_{\theta_j} \hat{w} \hat{w}_{\theta_j} \hat{w}^{-1} \hat{w}^2 = \hat{w}_{\theta_j} \hat{w} \hat{w}_{\theta_j} \hat{w} \\
(\text{using I and (i)}) &= \left(\prod_{r \in J} \hat{w}_{\theta_j} \hat{t}_{j,r} \hat{w}_{\theta_j} \right) \left(\prod_{r \in J} \hat{t}_{j,r} \right) = \left(\prod_{r \in J} \hat{t}_{j,r}^{-1} \right) \left(\prod_{r \in J} \hat{t}_{j,r} \right) \\
(\text{using (iii)}) &= \prod_{r < s \in J} [\hat{t}_{j,r}, \hat{t}_{j,s}] = \prod_{\{r,s \in J : r < s\}} \hat{z}_{\{r,s\}}^{2\Delta(r,s)^{-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

(v) By (2.17) it is enough to prove $Z(\hat{\mathcal{W}})$ is a subset of the right hand side of the equality. Let $\hat{w} \in Z(\hat{\mathcal{W}})$. By parts (i)-(iii), we see that $\hat{w}$ can be written as an expression in the form $\hat{w} = \hat{w} \prod_{r=1}^\nu \prod_{i=1}^\ell \hat{t}_{i,r}^{n_{i,r}} \hat{z}$, where $\hat{w} \in \hat{\mathcal{W}}$, $n_{i,r} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\hat{z} \in \langle \hat{z}_{\{r,s\}}, \hat{z}_J \mid r < s \in J, J \in \mathcal{J} \rangle$. Then we have

$$\psi(\hat{w}) = \psi(\hat{w}) \prod_{r=1}^\nu \prod_{i=1}^\ell t_{i,r}^{n_{i,r}} \psi(\hat{z}) \in Z(\mathcal{W}) \quad \text{where} \quad t_{i,r} := \psi(\hat{t}_{i,r}) = w_{\alpha_i + k_{i,r} \sigma_r} w_{\alpha_i}.$$

Since $\psi(\hat{w}) \in \dot{\mathcal{W}}$ and $\psi(\hat{z}) \in Z(\mathcal{W})$, we have from [AS3, (2.9) and Proposition 2.1(v)] and (2.3) that $\hat{w} = 1$ and $n_{i,r} = 0$ for all i, r . Thus $\hat{w} = \hat{z} \in \langle \hat{z}_{\{r,s\}}, \hat{z}_J \mid r \leq s \in J_\nu, J \in \mathcal{J} \rangle$. $\square$

To obtain further information about $\ker(\psi)$ and consequently about the existence of the presentation by conjugation for extended affine Weyl groups under consideration, we need to introduce a new term, called an *integral collection*.

For all $1 \leq r < s \leq \nu$ and $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, \nu\}$, we set

$$\chi_J(r, s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \{r, s\} \subsetneq J, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

We call $\bar{\epsilon} = \{\epsilon_J\}_{J \in \mathcal{J}}$, $\epsilon_J \in \{0, 1\}$, an *integral collection* for (S_1, S_2) , if

$$\bar{\epsilon}_{r,s} := \Delta(r, s)^{-1} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}} \chi_J(r, s) \epsilon_J \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{for all } r < s \in J_\nu, \quad (2.21)$$

where $\mathcal{J}$ is given by (2.18) (if J is an empty set, we make the convention that the sum is zero and interpret $\bar{\epsilon}$ as the zero collection). If $\epsilon_J = 0$ for all $J \in \mathcal{J}$, we call $\bar{\epsilon} = \{0\}_{J \in \mathcal{J}}$ the *trivial collection*. It is clear that the trivial collection is an integral collection. Clearly there are at most $2^{|\mathcal{J}|}$ integral collections for (S_1, S_2) . Any integral collection different from the trivial collection is called *non-trivial*.

For any integral collection $\bar{\epsilon} = \{\epsilon_J\}_{J \in \mathcal{J}}$ of (S_1, S_2) , we set

$$\hat{u}(\bar{\epsilon}) := \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq \nu} \hat{z}_{\{r,s\}}^{\bar{\epsilon}_{r,s}} \prod_{J \in \mathcal{J}} \hat{z}_J^{\epsilon_J}. \quad (2.22)$$

Proposition 2.23. (i)

$$\begin{aligned} \ker(\psi) &= \{ \hat{u} \in Z(\hat{\mathcal{W}}) \mid \hat{u}^2 = 1 \} \\ &= \{ \hat{u} \in Z(\hat{\mathcal{W}}) \mid |\hat{u}| < \infty \} \\ &= \{ \hat{u}(\bar{\epsilon}) \mid \bar{\epsilon} \text{ is an integral collection for } (S_1, S_2) \}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) The assignment $\bar{\epsilon} \longmapsto \hat{u}(\bar{\epsilon})$ is a one to one correspondence from the set of integral collections for (S_1, S_2) onto $\ker(\psi)$.

Proof. (i) From Lemma 2.5(iii) and (1.17) we have

$$\{ \hat{u} \in Z(\hat{\mathcal{W}}) \mid \hat{u}^2 = 1 \} \subseteq \{ \hat{u} \in Z(\hat{\mathcal{W}}) \mid |\hat{u}| < \infty \} \subseteq \ker(\psi). \quad (2.24)$$

Next, let $\hat{u} \in \ker(\psi)$. By Lemma 2.5(i) and Proposition 2.19(iv)-(v), $\hat{u}$ can be written in the form

$$\hat{u} = \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq \nu} \hat{z}_{\{r,s\}}^{m_{r,s}} \prod_{J \in \mathcal{J}} \hat{z}_J^{\epsilon_J}, \quad (m_{r,s} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \epsilon_J \in \{0, 1\}). \quad (2.25)$$

Then using (2.25), (2.20) and Proposition 2.19(v) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{u}^2 &= \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq \nu} \hat{z}_{\{r,s\}}^{2m_{r,s}} \prod_{J \in \mathcal{J}} \hat{z}_J^{2\epsilon_J} \\
(\text{using Proposition 2.19(iii)}) &= \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq \nu} \hat{z}_{\{r,s\}}^{2m_{r,s}} \prod_{J \in \mathcal{J}} \left(\prod_{\{r,s \in J : r < s\}} \hat{z}_{\{r,s\}}^{2\Delta(r,s)^{-1}} \right)^{\epsilon_J} \\
&= \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq \nu} \hat{z}_{\{r,s\}}^{2m_{r,s}} \prod_{J \in \mathcal{J}} \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq \nu} \hat{z}_{\{r,s\}}^{2\Delta(r,s)^{-1} \chi_J(r,s) \epsilon_J} \\
&= \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq \nu} \hat{z}_{\{r,s\}}^{2m_{r,s}} \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq \nu} \hat{z}_{\{r,s\}}^{2\Delta(r,s)^{-1} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}} \chi_J(r,s) \epsilon_J} \\
&= \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq \nu} \hat{z}_{\{r,s\}}^{2m_{r,s} + 2\Delta(r,s)^{-1} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}} \chi_J(r,s) \epsilon_J} \quad (2.26)
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$1 = \psi(\hat{u}^2) = \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq \nu} z_{\{r,s\}}^{2m_{r,s} + 2\Delta(r,s)^{-1} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}} \chi_J(r,s) \epsilon_J}, \quad (2.27)$$

where $z_{\{r,s\}} := \psi(\hat{z}_{\{r,s\}})$. Set $\bar{\epsilon} := \{\epsilon_J\}_{J \in \mathcal{J}}$ and $\bar{\epsilon}_{r,s} := m_{r,s}$. Since $\langle z_{\{r,s\}} \mid r < s \in J_\nu \rangle$ is a free abelian group on generators $z_{\{r,s\}}$'s (see [AS3, Lemmas 2.2(i) and 2.3]), the integers $\bar{\epsilon}_{r,s}$'s satisfy (2.21). Thus $\hat{u}^2 = 1$ and $\hat{u} = \hat{u}(\bar{\epsilon})$ where $\bar{\epsilon}$ is an integral collection. Thus

$$\ker(\psi) \subseteq \{\hat{u}(\bar{\epsilon}) \mid \bar{\epsilon} \text{ is an integral collection for } (S_1, S_2)\} \subseteq \{\hat{u} \in Z(\hat{W}) \mid \hat{u}^2 = 1\}.$$

This together with (2.24) completes the proof of (i).

(ii) By (i), it is enough to show that the assignment $\bar{\epsilon} \mapsto \hat{u}(\bar{\epsilon})$ is injective. Now let $\hat{u}(\bar{\epsilon}) = \hat{u}(\bar{\epsilon}')$, where $\bar{\epsilon} = \{\epsilon_J\}_{J \in \mathcal{J}}$ and $\bar{\epsilon}' = \{\epsilon'_J\}_{J \in \mathcal{J}}$ are two integral collections for (S_1, S_2) . We claim that $\bar{\epsilon} = \bar{\epsilon}'$. Suppose to the contrary that $\epsilon_{J_0} \neq \epsilon'_{J_0}$ for some $J_0 \in \text{Esupp}(S_j)$, $j = 1, 2$ (see (2.18)). Without loss of generality assume $\epsilon_{J_0} = 1$ and $\epsilon'_{J_0} = 0$. Then $\hat{z}_{J_0}^{-1} = \hat{u}(\bar{\epsilon}) \hat{z}_{J_0}^{-1} \hat{u}(\bar{\epsilon}')^{-1} \in \langle \hat{z}_{\{r,s\}}, \hat{z}_J \mid r < s \in J_\nu, J \in \mathcal{J} \setminus \{J_0\} \rangle$. Set $\beta := \theta_j + \tau_{J_0} \in \Pi$ (see (2.6)). Therefore from the ways $\hat{z}_j$'s and Π are defined (see (2.6) and (2.16)) and the fact that $\hat{w}_\beta = \hat{w}_{\theta_j} \hat{z}_{J_0} (\prod_{r \in J_0} \hat{t}_{j,r})^{-1}$, it follows that $\hat{w}_\beta \in \langle \hat{w}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Pi \setminus \{\beta\} \rangle$. But this contradicts Lemma 2.9. $\square$

Remark 2.28. By Proposition 2.23, the number of integral collections for (S_1, S_2) does not depend on the particular choices of $\hat{V}$, S_1 and S_2 in the description of R in the form (1.5). In fact, as isomorphic root systems have isomorphic Weyl groups, it follows from Proposition 2.23 that

$$\text{Inc}(R) := \text{the number of integral collections for } (S_1, S_2)$$

is an isomorphism invariant of R . Since the trivial collection is an integral collection, we have $\text{Inc}(R) \geq 1$.

Corollary 2.29. $\ker(\psi)$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of at most $|\mathcal{J}|$ -copies of $\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Proof. From Proposition 2.23(ii) and the fact that there are at most $2^{|\mathcal{J}|}$ integral collections for (S_1, S_2) we have $|\ker(\psi)| \leq 2^{|\mathcal{J}|}$. Also by Proposition 2.23(i) each non-trivial element of $\ker(\psi)$ is of order 2, therefore the result is clear as $\ker(\psi)$ is abelian. $\square$

Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be the number of copies of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ involved in $\ker(\psi)$, then

$$|\ker(\psi)| = 2^{n_0} \quad \text{and} \quad n_0 = \log_2 \text{Inc}(R). \quad (2.30)$$

Corollary 2.31. (i) If $\mathcal{J} = \emptyset$ (in particular for types $X = F_4$ or G_2), then $n_0 = 0$.

(ii) If $X = B_2$ and $\{r, s\} \in \text{supp}(S_1) \cup \text{supp}(S_2)$ for all $r, s \in J_t$ or $r, s \in J_\nu^t$, then $n_0 = |\text{Esupp}(S_1)| + |\text{Esupp}(S_2)|$. In particular, if S_1 and S_2 are lattices, then

$$n_0 = 2^{\nu-t} + 2^t - 2 - \nu - \binom{t}{2} - \binom{\nu-t}{2}.$$

(iii) If $X = B_\ell$ ($\ell \geq 2$) and $\{r, s\} \in \text{supp}(S_1)$ for all $r, s \in J_t$, then $n_0 = |\text{Esupp}(S_1)|$. In particular, if S_1 is a lattice, then

$$n_0 = 2^t - 1 - t - \binom{t}{2}.$$

(iv) If $X = C_\ell$ ($\ell \geq 2$) and $\{r, s\} \in \text{supp}(S_2)$ for all $r, s \in J_\nu^t$, then $n_0 = |\text{Esupp}(S_2)|$. In particular, if S_2 is a lattice, then

$$n_0 = 2^{\nu-t} - 1 - \nu + t - \binom{\nu-t}{2}.$$

Proof. By [AS2, Example 1.4(ii)], under the assumptions given in each statement, there is exactly 2^{n_0} integral collections. Now the result follows from Proposition 2.23(ii). $\square$

Definition 2.32. Let R be a reduced extended affine root system with extended affine Weyl group $\mathcal{W}$. Following [H1], we call R a *minimal* extended affine root system, if there is no $\alpha \in R^\times$ such that the reflections associated to the elements of the set $R^\times \setminus \mathcal{W}\alpha$ generate $\mathcal{W}$.

We now state our main theorem about the presentation by conjugation.

Theorem 2.33. Let $R = R(X, S_1, S_2)$ be a reduced non-simply laced extended affine root system of the form (1.5) with extended affine Weyl group $\mathcal{W}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) $\mathcal{W}$ has the presentation by conjugation.
- (b) $Z(\hat{\mathcal{W}}) \cong Z(\mathcal{W})$.
- (c) $Z(\hat{\mathcal{W}})$ is a free abelian group.
- (d) The epimorphism $\psi : \hat{\mathcal{W}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}$ given by (2.2) is injective.
- (e) $\{w_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Pi\}$ is a minimal set of generators for $\mathcal{W}$, where Π is given by (2.6).
- (f) $\text{Inc}(R) = 1$.
- (g) R is a minimal root system.

Proof. Clearly $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$, and assertion $(b) \Rightarrow (c)$ follows from Proposition 2.5(iii) and (1.17). From Lemma 2.5(i), Proposition 2.23 and (1.17) we have $(c) \Leftrightarrow (d)$. The assertion $(d) \Rightarrow (e)$ follows immediately from Lemma 2.9. We now show that $(e) \Rightarrow (d)$. Clearly (d) holds, if $X = F_4$ or G_2 (see Corollary 2.31). Next, let $X = B_\ell$ or C_ℓ . Suppose to the contrary that (e) holds but (d) does not. Let $1 \neq u \in \ker(\psi)$. Then by Proposition 2.23(i), $\hat{u}$ can be written in the form $u = \hat{u}(\epsilon)$, where $\bar{\epsilon} = \{\epsilon_J\}_{J \in \mathcal{J}}$ is a nontrivial integral collection for R . Let $\epsilon_{J_0} = 1$ for some $J_0 \in \mathcal{J}$ and set $\beta := \theta_j + \tau_{J_0} \in \Pi$, where $J_0 \in \text{Esupp}(S_j)$, $j = 1, 2$ (see (2.18)). Then applying the homomorphism ψ to the both sides of $\hat{u} = \hat{u}(\bar{\epsilon})$ we have

$$z_{J_0}^{-1} = \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq \nu} z_{\{r,s\}}^{m_{r,s}} \prod_{J \in \mathcal{J} \setminus \{J_0\}} z_J^{\epsilon_J}, \text{ where } z_J := \psi(\hat{z}_J).$$

Now using an argument similar to the proof of Proposition 2.23(ii) we get that $w_\beta \in \langle w_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Pi \setminus \{\beta\} \rangle$ which contradicts (e). The equivalence of (f) and (g) is immediate from Proposition 2.23. This completes the proof that the first six assertions are equivalent. Finally the equivalence $(d) \Leftrightarrow (g)$ is proved in [H1, Theorem 5.8]. $\square$

Using Theorem 2.33 and Corollary 2.31 we have the following result.

Corollary 2.34. *If $\mathcal{J} = \emptyset$ (in particular for types F_4 and G_2), then $\mathcal{W}$ has the presentation by conjugation.*

Let δ_1, δ_2 be given by (1.13). As in [AS2, §1], we call a collection $\{\epsilon_J\}_{J \in \text{Esupp}(S_j)}$, $1 \leq j \leq 2$, $\epsilon_J \in \{0, 1\}$, an *integral collection* for S_j , if

$$\delta_j(r, s)^{-1} \sum_{J \in \text{Esupp}(S_j)} \chi_J(r, s) \epsilon_J \in \mathbb{Z},$$

for all $r < s \in J_t$ if $j = 1$, and $r < s \in J_\nu^t$ if $j = 2$. Then employing the notion of integral collection for semilattices S_1 and S_2 and using (2.21), (2.18), (1.12) and Theorem 2.33, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.35. *(i) If $X = B_2$, then $\mathcal{W}$ has the presentation by conjugation if and only if the trivial collection is the only integral collection for S_1 and S_2 .*

(ii) If $X = B_\ell$ ($\ell \geq 3$), then $\mathcal{W}$ has the presentation by conjugation if and only if the trivial collection is the only integral collection for S_1 .

(iii) If $X = C_\ell$ ($\ell \geq 3$), then $\mathcal{W}$ has the presentation by conjugation if and only if the trivial collection is the only integral collection for S_2 .

Using Corollary 2.35, [AS2, Example 1.4(iii) and Lemma 1.5] and Theorem 2.33 we have the following corollaries:

Corollary 2.36. *If $X = B_2$, then R is a minimal extended affine root system if one of the following conditions holds:*

- (a) $\text{ind}(S_1) - t \leq 3$ and $\text{ind}(S_2) - (\nu - t) \leq 3$,
- (b) $t \leq 3$, $\nu - t \leq 3$, $\text{ind}(S_1) \neq 7$ and $\text{ind}(S_2) \neq 7$.

In particular, if $\nu - t \leq 3$ and $t \leq 3$, then

$$R \text{ is minimal} \iff \text{ind}(S_1) \neq 7 \text{ and } \text{ind}(S_2) \neq 7.$$

Corollary 2.37. *If $X = B_\ell$ ($\ell \geq 3$), then R is a minimal extended affine root system if one of the following conditions holds:*

- (a) $\text{ind}(S_1) - t \leq 3$,
- (b) $t \leq 3$ and $\text{ind}(S_1) \neq 7$.

In particular, if $t \leq 3$, then R is minimal if and only if $\text{ind}(S_1) \neq 7$,

Corollary 2.38. *If $X = C_\ell$ ($\ell \geq 3$), then R is a minimal extended affine root system if one of the following conditions holds:*

- (a) $\text{ind}(S_2) - (\nu - t) \leq 3$,
- (b) $\nu - t \leq 3$ and $\text{ind}(S_2) \neq 7$.

In particular, if $\nu - t \leq 3$, then R is minimal if and only if $\text{ind}(S_2) \neq 7$.

Remark 2.39. In [A4, (4.16)], the author defines a notion of index, denoted $\text{ind}(R)$, for a reduced extended affine root system R and shows that if $\text{ind}(R) = 0$, then W has the presentation by conjugation. From Corollaries 2.36–2.38, it is immediate that an extended affine root system of index zero is minimal and so by Theorem 2.33 has the presentation by conjugation.

Corollary 2.40. *(i) If $X = B_\ell$ and S_1 satisfies one of the following conditions, then R is not minimal:*

- (a) *There exists $J \in \text{Esupp}(S_1)$ such that $\{r, s\} \in \text{supp}(S_1)$ for all $r, s \in J$.*
- (b) *$t \geq 3$ and S_1 is a lattice.*
- (c) *$t > 3$ and $\text{ind}(S_1) = 2^t - 2$.*

(ii) If $X = C_\ell$ and S_2 satisfies one of the following conditions then R is not minimal:

- (a) *There exists $J \in \text{Esupp}(S_2)$ such that $\{r, s\} \in \text{supp}(S_2)$ for all $r, s \in J$.*
- (b) *$\nu - t \geq 3$ and S_2 is a lattice.*
- (c) *$\nu - t > 3$ and $\text{ind}(S_2) = 2^{\nu-t} - 2$.*

Proposition 2.41. *Let $X = B_\ell, C_\ell$. and ν, t, m_1 and m_2 be integers such that $7 \leq t + 4 \leq m_1 \leq 2^t - 1$ and $7 \leq \nu - t + 4 \leq m_2 \leq 2^{\nu-t} - 1$. Then there exists an extended affine root system $R = R(X, S_1, S_2)$ of nullity ν , twist number t with*

$$\text{ind}(S_1) = \begin{cases} m_1 & \text{if } X = B_\ell, \\ 2^t - 1 & \text{if } X = C_{\ell \geq 3}, \end{cases} \quad (2.42)$$

and

$$\text{ind}(S_2) = \begin{cases} m_2 & \text{if } X = C_\ell \\ 2^{\nu-t} - 1 & \text{if } X = B_{\ell \geq 3}, \end{cases} \quad (2.43)$$

such that R is not minimal.

Proof. Let $\dot{R}$ be a finite root system of type B_ℓ or C_ℓ in $\dot{\mathcal{V}}$ equipped with a positive definite symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$. Let $\mathcal{V} = \dot{\mathcal{V}} \oplus \mathcal{V}_1^0 \oplus \mathcal{V}_2^0$, where $\dim \mathcal{V}_1^0 = t$ and

$\dim \mathcal{V}_2^0 = \nu - t$. We extend the form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\dot{\mathcal{V}}$ to a positive semi-definite symmetric bilinear form, denoted again by $(\cdot, \cdot)$, on $\mathcal{V}$ as follows

$$(\cdot, \cdot)_{\dot{\mathcal{V}} \times \dot{\mathcal{V}}} := (\cdot, \cdot) \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}^0) := \{0\}.$$

By the proof of [AS2, Corollary 5.18], there exist semilattices S_1 and S_2 in $\mathcal{V}_1^0$ and $\mathcal{V}_2^0$, respectively satisfying (2.42) and (2.43) such that nontrivial integral collections exist for them. Then

$$R = R(X, S_1, S_2) := (S + S) \cup (\dot{R}_{sh} + S_1 \oplus \langle S_2 \rangle) \cup (\dot{R}_{lg} + 2\langle S_1 \rangle \oplus S_2)$$

is an extended affine root system of type X , nullity ν with twist number t . By Theorem 2.33, R is not a minimal root system. $\square$

3. Appendix

In the proof of Proposition 2.19(iii), we used several results from literature on the concept of the presentation by conjugation. For the convenience of the reader we provide here a direct proof for part (iii) of Proposition 2.19 which is self-contained, and unlike the earlier proof does not require any previous knowledge about the existence of the presentation by conjugation for low nullities.

Lemma 3.1. $[\hat{t}_{i,r}, \hat{t}_{j,s}] = \hat{z}_{\{r,s\}}^{\Delta(r,s)^{-1}a_{i,j}(r,s)}, i, j \in J_\ell, r \leq s \in J_\nu$.

Proof. Using (2.17) and Lemma 2.14(ii) we have

$$[\hat{t}_{i,r}, \hat{t}_{j,s}] = \hat{t}_{\alpha_j + k_{j,s}\sigma_s}^{-(\alpha_j, \alpha_i^\vee)k_{i,r}\sigma_r} \hat{t}_{\alpha_j}^{(\alpha_j, \alpha_i^\vee)k_{i,r}\sigma_r}. \quad (3.2)$$

If $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j^\vee) = 0$, then $a_{i,j}(r, s) = 0$ and so by (3.2) we are done. So we may assume $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \neq 0$. The only cases which we must consider are:

- (1) $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \in \dot{R} \times \dot{R}_{sh}$ with $r \leq s \in J_t$ or $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \in \dot{R} \times \dot{R}_{lg}$ with $r \leq s \in J_\nu^t$,
- (2) $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \in \dot{R}_{sh} \times \dot{R}_{lg}$ with $r \leq s \in J_t$ or $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \in \dot{R}_{lg} \times \dot{R}_{sh}$ with $r \leq s \in J_\nu^t$,
- (3) $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \in \dot{R}_{sh} \times \dot{R}_{lg}$ with $(r, s) \in J_t \times J_\nu^t$,
- (4) $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \in \dot{R}_{sh} \times \dot{R}_{sh}$ with $r \leq s \in J_\nu^t$ or $(r, s) \in J_t \times J_\nu^t$,
- (5) $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \in \dot{R}_{lg} \times \dot{R}_{lg}$ with $r \leq s \in J_t$ or $(r, s) \in J_t \times J_\nu^t$,
- (6) $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \in \dot{R}_{lg} \times \dot{R}_{sh}$ with $(r, s) \in J_t \times J_\nu^t$.

If (1) holds, then by (1.9) and (1.10) we have $k_{j,r} = k_{j,s} = 1$ and $kk_r^{-1}\alpha_j^\vee = \alpha_j$ and so $a_{i,j}(r, s) = (\alpha_j, \alpha_i^\vee)k_{i,r}$ (see (1.11)). First, let $\{r, s\} \in \text{supp}(S_1) \cup \text{supp}(S_2)$. Then $\Delta(r, s) = 1$ (see (1.12)) and so using (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{t}_{i,r}, \hat{t}_{j,s}] &= \hat{t}_{\alpha_j + \sigma_s}^{-a_{i,j}(r,s)\sigma_r} \hat{t}_{\alpha_j}^{a_{i,j}(r,s)\sigma_r} \\ (\text{Lemmas 2.13 and 2.12(ii)}) &= (\hat{t}_{\alpha_j + \sigma_s}^{-\sigma_r} \hat{t}_{\alpha_j}^{\sigma_r})^{a_{i,j}(r,s)} = ((\hat{t}_{\alpha_j + \sigma_s}^{\sigma_r})^{-1} \hat{t}_{\alpha_j}^{\sigma_r})^{a_{i,j}(r,s)} \\ (\text{using Lemma 2.13}) &= ((\hat{t}_{\alpha_j + \sigma_r}^{\sigma_s} \hat{t}_{-\alpha_j}^{\sigma_r})^{-1} \hat{t}_{\alpha_j}^{\sigma_r})^{a_{i,j}(r,s)} \\ (\text{using Lemma 2.13 and (2.16)}) &= (\hat{t}_{\alpha_j}^{\sigma_s} \hat{t}_{\alpha_j}^{-\sigma_s - \sigma_r} \hat{t}_{\alpha_j}^{\sigma_r})^{a_{i,j}(r,s)} = \hat{z}_{\{r,s\}}^{\Delta(r,s)^{-1}a_{i,j}(r,s)}. \end{aligned}$$

(We have used the fact that if xy is central for two elements x, y of a group G , then $(xy)^n = x^n y^n$ and $xy = yx$). Next, let $\{r, s\} \notin \text{supp}(S_1) \cup \text{supp}(S_2)$. Then using (3.2),

(1.14) and the facts that $\Delta(r, s) = (\alpha_j, \alpha_j^\vee) = 2$ and $k_{j,r} = k_{j,s} = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{t}_{i,r}, \hat{t}_{j,s}] &= \hat{t}_{\alpha_j + \sigma_s}^{-a_{i,j}(r,s)\sigma_r} \hat{t}_{\alpha_j}^{a_{i,j}(r,s)\sigma_r} \\ \text{(using Lemmas 2.13 and 2.12(ii))} &= (\hat{t}_{\alpha_j + k_{j,s}\sigma_s}^{-(\alpha_j, \alpha_j^\vee)k_{j,r}\sigma_r} \hat{t}_{\alpha_j}^{(\alpha_j, \alpha_j^\vee)k_{j,r}\sigma_r}) \Delta(r, s)^{-1} a_{i,j}(r, s) \\ \text{(using (3.2) and (2.16))} &= [\hat{t}_{j,r}, \hat{t}_{j,s}]^{\Delta(r, s)^{-1} a_{i,j}(r, s)} = \hat{z}_{\{r, s\}}^{\Delta(r, s)^{-1} a_{i,j}(r, s)}. \end{aligned}$$

If (2) holds, then we have $k_{i,r} = k_{i,s} = 1$, $kk_r^{-1}\alpha_i^\vee = \alpha_i$ and $a_{i,j}(r, s) = (\alpha_i, \alpha_j^\vee)k_{j,s}$ and so using an argument similar to the case (1) we get

$$[\hat{t}_{j,s}, \hat{t}_{i,r}] = \hat{z}_{\{r, s\}}^{-\Delta(r, s)^{-1}(\alpha_i, \alpha_j^\vee)k_{j,s}\sigma_s} = \hat{z}_{\{r, s\}}^{-\Delta(r, s)^{-1}a_{i,j}(r, s)}.$$

If (3) holds, then from the fact that $\Delta(r, s) = -a_{i,j}(r, s) = 1$ and the way that $\hat{z}_{\{r, s\}}$ is defined we have

$$[\hat{t}_{i,r}, \hat{t}_{j,s}] = [\hat{t}_{j,s}, \hat{t}_{i,r}]^{-1} = \hat{z}_{\{r, s\}}^{-1} = \hat{z}_{\{r, s\}}^{\Delta(r, s)^{-1}a_{i,j}(r, s)}.$$

If (4) holds, then by (1.6) and the assumption $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \neq 0$ we have $k_{i,r} = k_{1,r} = k_{j,s} = k_{2,s} = 1$ and $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j^\vee) = (\theta_1, \alpha_2^\vee)n = -n$ where

$$n := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \alpha_i \neq \alpha_j, \\ -2, & \text{if } \alpha_i = \alpha_j. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

Then using (3.2) and the fact that $a_{i,j}(r, s) = na_{1,2}(r, s)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{t}_{i,r}, \hat{t}_{j,s}] &= [\hat{t}_{j,s}, \hat{t}_{i,r}]^{-1} = (\hat{t}_{\alpha_i + k_{i,r}\sigma_r}^{-(\theta_1, \theta_2^\vee)nk_{2,s}\sigma_s} \hat{t}_{\alpha_i}^{(\theta_1, \theta_2^\vee)nk_{2,s}\sigma_s})^{-1} \\ &\quad \hat{t}_{\theta_1}^{(\theta_1, \theta_2^\vee)nk_{2,s}\sigma_s} - 1 \\ \text{(using Lemmas 2.15(ii)) and 2.13} &= (\hat{t}_{\theta_1 + k_{1,r}\sigma_r}^{-(\theta_1, \theta_2^\vee)k_{2,s}\sigma_s} \hat{t}_{\theta_1}^{(\theta_1, \theta_2^\vee)k_{2,s}\sigma_s})^{-n} = [\hat{t}_{2,s}, \hat{t}_{1,r}]^{-n} \\ &= [\hat{t}_{1,r}, \hat{t}_{2,s}]^n \\ \text{(using case (1) or (3))} &= \hat{z}_{\{r, s\}}^{\Delta(r, s)^{-1}na_{1,2}(r, s)} = \hat{z}_{\{r, s\}}^{\Delta(r, s)^{-1}a_{i,j}(r, s)}. \end{aligned}$$

If (5) holds, then $k_{j,s} = k_{2,s}$, $(\alpha_j, \alpha_i^\vee)k_{i,r} = (\theta_2, \theta_1^\vee)k_{1,r}n$ where n is given by (3.3) and $a_{i,j}(r, s) = na_{1,2}(r, s)$ and so using (3.2) and Lemmas 2.15(ii) and 2.13 we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{t}_{i,r}, \hat{t}_{j,s}] &= \hat{t}_{\theta_2 + k_{2,s}\sigma_s}^{-(\theta_2, \theta_1^\vee)nk_{1,r}\sigma_r} \hat{t}_{\theta_2}^{(\theta_2, \theta_1^\vee)nk_{1,r}\sigma_r} \\ &= (\hat{t}_{\theta_2 + k_{2,s}\sigma_s}^{-(\theta_2, \theta_1^\vee)k_{1,r}\sigma_r} \hat{t}_{\theta_2}^{(\theta_2, \theta_1^\vee)k_{1,r}\sigma_r})^n = [\hat{t}_{1,r}, \hat{t}_{2,s}]^n \\ \text{(using case (2) or (3))} &= \hat{z}_{\{r, s\}}^{\Delta(r, s)^{-1}na_{1,2}(r, s)} = \hat{z}_{\{r, s\}}^{\Delta(r, s)^{-1}a_{i,j}(r, s)}. \end{aligned}$$

If (6) holds, then using (3.2) and the facts that $(\alpha_j, \alpha_i^\vee) = -k_{j,s} = -\Delta(r, s) = -1$ and $k_{i,r} = k_r = k = -a_{i,j}(r, s)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{t}_{i,r}, \hat{t}_{j,s}] &= \hat{t}_{\alpha_j + \sigma_s}^{-\Delta(r, s)^{-1}a_{i,j}(r, s)\sigma_r} \hat{t}_{\alpha_j}^{\Delta(r, s)^{-1}a_{i,j}(r, s)\sigma_r} \\ &= (\hat{t}_{\alpha_j - (\alpha_j, \alpha_i^\vee)k_{i,s}\sigma_s}^{-k_{j,r}\sigma_r} \hat{t}_{\alpha_j}^{k_{j,r}\sigma_r})^{\Delta(r, s)^{-1}a_{i,j}(r, s)} \\ \text{(using Lemma 2.14(ii) and (2.16))} &= [\hat{t}_{i,s}, \hat{t}_{j,r}]^{\Delta(r, s)^{-1}a_{i,j}(r, s)} \\ \text{(using case (3))} &= \hat{z}_{\{r, s\}}^{\Delta(r, s)^{-1}a_{i,j}(r, s)}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [AABGP] B. Allison, S. Azam, S. Berman, Y. Gao, A. Pianzola, *Extended affine Lie algebra and their root systems*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **603**(1997), 1-122.
- [A1] S. Azam, *Nonreduced extended affine Weyl groups*, J. Alg. **269**(2003), 508-527.
- [A2] S. Azam, *Extended affine root systems*, J. Lie Theory 12 (2)(2002), 515-527.
- [A3] S. Azam, *A presentation for reduced extended affine Weyl groups*, Comm. Alg. **28**(1)(2000), 465-488.
- [A4] S. Azam, *Extended affine Weyl groups*, J. Alg. **214**(1999) 571-624.
- [A5] S. Azam, *Nonreduced extended affine root system of nullity 3*, Comm. Alg. **25**(1997), 3617-3654.
- [AS1] S. Azam, V. Shahsanaei, *Simply laced extended affine Weyl groups (a finite presentation)*, **RIMS.**, Kyoto Univ. **43**(2007), 403-424.
- [AS2] S. Azam, V. Shahsanaei, *Presentation by conjugation for A_1 -type extended affine Weyl groups*, J. Alg. **319** (2008), 1428-1449.
- [AS3] S. Azam, V. Shahsanaei, *On the presentations of extended affine Weyl groups*, **RIMS.**, Kyoto Univ. **44**(2008), 131-161.
- [H1] G. Hofmann, *Weyl groups with Coxeter presentation and presentation by conjugation*, J. Lie Theory 17 (2007), 337-355.
- [H2] G. Hofmann, *The abelianizations of Weyl groups of root systems extended by abelian groups*, J. Alg. **320** (2008), 1741-1763.
- [K] Y. Kkylyuk, *On the Structure of quasi-simple Lie algebras and their automorphism groups*, Ph.D Thesis, University of Saskatchewan, 1995.
- [MP] R. V. Moody and A. Pianzola, *Lie algebras with triangular decomposition*, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, New York, 1995.
- [Sa] K. Saito, *Extended affine root systems I (Coxeter transformations)*, **RIMS.**, Kyoto Univ. **21**(1985), 75-179.
- [Sh] V. Shahsanaei, *Presentations of extended affine Weyl groups*, Ph.D Thesis, University of Isfahan, 2007.
- [St] R. Stenberg, *Lecture on Chevalley groups*, Yale University, 1976.
- [R] D. J. Robinson, *Finiteness conditions and generalized soluble groups*, Springer-Verlag, 1972.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ISFAHAN, ISFAHAN, IRAN, P.O.Box 81745-163
E-mail address: `azam@sci.ui.ac.ir`, `saeidazam@yahoo.com`.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF QOM, QOM, IRAN, P.O.Box 3716146611
E-mail address: `shahsanaei@qom.ac.ir`.